

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/663,793	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TAKEUCHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Katherine A. Bareford	<b>Art Unit</b> 1792	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Katherine A. Bareford.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael A. Makuch.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 June 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5-8

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's attorney agreed to an Examiner's Amendment, as shown on the attached Examiner's Amendment, to put the case in condition for allowance*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)